IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

XAVIER HARPER,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-cv-1691
	8	JURY
LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC.,	8	
HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT &	8	
LEASING, LLC AND JOHN G.	§	
MARSHALL, III	§	
Defendants.		

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

NOW COMES, XAVIER HARPER, Plaintiff, and files this his Original Complaint against LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC., HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC and JOHN G. MARSHALL, III, Defendants, and for just cause would respectfully show the Court the following:

NATURE OF THE CASE

 This action arises as a result of an automobile accident which injured XAVIER HARPER on or about July 13, 2015.

PARTIES

- 2. XAVIER HARPER is an individual who resides in the State of Texas.
- 3. LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. is a corporation organized in the State of Arkansas and may be served by sending a copy of the summons and complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested to its registered agent, Jeff Loggins, 5706 Commerce Square, Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401.

- 4. HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC is a limited liability company organized in the State of Arkansas and may be served by sending a copy of the summons and complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested to its registered agent, Jeff Loggins, 5706 Commerce Square, Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401.
- 5. JOHN G. MARSHALL, III is an individual who resides at 2531 Highway 355 South, Hempstead, Arkansas 71838 and may be served with the summons and complaint at this address.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction in this case is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) as this case is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a), because the wrongful act or omission of the Defendants occurred within this Judicial District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. On or about July 13, 2015, XAVIER HARPER was operating a 2006 Buick Lucerne in a careful and prudent manner, traveling westbound in the inside lane on Interstate 30 in Fate, Rockwall County, Texas. Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was driving a 2006 Freightliner tractor and towing a trailer owned by Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. and/or HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. and/or HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC. Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was traveling westbound in the outside lane on Interstate Highway 30. Suddenly and without warning, Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III began to change lanes when

- unsafe from the outside lane to the inside lane and struck Plaintiff's vehicle, subjecting the Plaintiff to tremendous force.
- 9. At the time of the occurrence, Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. and/or HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC.

NEGLIGENCE AND NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 10. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.
- 11. Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was negligent in various acts and omissions, which negligence was the proximate cause of the accident described herein which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - A. Violating Section 545.060 of Vernon's Texas Statutes and Codes, Annotated, Transportation Code, which reads in pertinent part:
 - (i) An operator on a roadway divided into two or more clearly marked lanes for traffic:
 - (1) Shall drive as nearly as practical entirely within a single lane; and
 - (2) May not move from the lane unless that movement can be made safely.
 - B. Failing to keep a proper lookout;
 - C. Failing to timely apply his brakes.
 - D. Failing to control the vehicle.
 - E. Failing to act and/or respond in a reasonable manner.
 - F. Failing to control the speed of the vehicle.
- 12. The foregoing acts of negligence and negligence per se by JOHN G. MARSHALL, III, acting singularly or in combination, were a proximate cause of the incident and the resulting damages to the Plaintiff.

- 13. At the time and on the occasion in question, and immediately prior thereto, Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. committed acts of omission and commission, which collectively and severally constituted negligence and which were a proximate cause of the injuries to and the damages of Plaintiff.
- 14. The independent acts of negligence of Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC., include but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Entrusting the subject truck to an unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless driver when it knew and/or should have known the driver of the subject truck was unlicensed, incompetent, and/or reckless;
 - B. Hiring and retaining an unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless driver when it knew and/or should have known that the subject driver was unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless; and
 - C. Failing to properly train its driver.
- 15. At the time and on the occasion in question, and immediately prior thereto, Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC committed acts of omission and commission, which collectively and severally constituted negligence and which were a proximate cause of the injuries to and the damages of Plaintiff.
- 16. The independent acts of negligence of Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC, include but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Entrusting the subject truck to an unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless driver when it knew and/or should have known the driver of the subject truck was unlicensed, incompetent, and/or reckless;
 - B. Hiring and retaining an unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless driver when it knew and/or should have known that the subject driver was unlicensed, incompetent and/or reckless; and
 - C. Failing to properly train its driver.

Each of the foregoing acts of negligence was a proximate cause of the collision in question and the injuries and damages of Plaintiff.

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

- 18. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.
- 19. Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. is liable for the damages proximately caused to Plaintiff by the conduct of Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III in that Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. was the employer of Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III on the date that Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III negligently injured Plaintiff, as alleged above, and Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was acting within the course and scope of that employment when that injury occurred or Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. had the right to control the activities of JOHN G. MARSHALL, III.
- Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC is liable for the damages proximately caused to Plaintiff by the conduct of Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III in that Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC was the employer of Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III on the date that Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III negligently injured Plaintiff, as alleged above, and Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was acting within the course and scope of that employment when that injury occurred or Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC had the right to control the activities of JOHN G. MARSHALL, III.

NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT

21. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.

- 22. At the time and on the occasion in question, and immediately prior thereto, Defendant LOGGINS LOGISTICS, INC. was guilty of negligent entrustment and knew or should have known that Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was a negligent and reckless driver.
- 23. At the time and on the occasion in question, and immediately prior thereto, Defendant HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT & LEASING, LLC was guilty of negligent entrustment and knew or should have known that Defendant JOHN G. MARSHALL, III was a negligent and reckless driver.

NEGLIGENT UNDERTAKING

- 24. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.
- 25. Defendants or their agents undertook, either gratuitously or for their own benefit, obligations to train, supervise, direct, instruct, and/or control the performance of workers and/or the operation of equipment or vehicles. Defendants knew or should have known that such training, supervision, direction, instruction, control, and/or operation was necessary for Plaintiff's protection. Defendants failed to exercise reasonable care in performing those obligations. Plaintiff relied on Defendants' performance, and Defendants' performance increased Plaintiff's risk of harm.

DAMAGES TO XAVIER HARPER

- 26. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, and Defendants' acts as described herein, XAVIER HARPER was caused to suffer and to

endure anxiety, pain, and illness. Consequently, XAVIER HARPER is entitled to the following items of damages:

- A. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses in the past;
- B. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses which, in reasonable probability, will be incurred in the future;
- C. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- D. Physical pain and suffering which, in reasonable probability, will be incurred in the future;
- E. Mental anguish in the past;
- F. Mental anguish which, in reasonable probability, will be incurred in the future;
- G. Physical impairment in the past;
- H. Physical impairment which, in reasonable probability, will be suffered in the future;
- I. Physical disfigurement in the past;
- J. Physical disfigurement which, in reasonable probability, will be suffered in the future;
- K. Loss of earning capacity in the past; and
- L. Loss of earning capacity which, in reasonable probability, will be incurred in the future.

GROSS NEGLIGENCE

- 28. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the above-numbered paragraphs and incorporates the same where relevant.
- 29. Defendants committed willful acts or omissions of gross negligence that were a proximate cause of the injuries to Plaintiff and the damages of Plaintiff, and for which Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages.

JURY DEMAND

30. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein and that, upon final trial, Plaintiff recover the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Post-judgment interest as allowed by Federal law;
- C. Court costs, fees and other expenses; and
- D. Other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

GOUDARZI & YOUNG, L.L.P.

P.O. Drawer 910 Gilmer, Texas 75644

Telephone: (903) 843-2544 Facsimile: (903) 843-2026

By: /s/ Brent Goudarzi

Brent Goudarzi
Texas Bar No. 00798218
Marty Young
Texas Bar No. 24010502
Geoffrey G. Hoover
Texas Bar No. 24074437
Kristina Pierce Joseph
Texas Bar No. 24050844
John C. Hull
Texas Bar No. 24050791

goudarziyoung@goudarzi-young.com ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 3:17-cv-01691-C Document 1 Filed 06/27/17 Page 9 of 9 PageID 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev_12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	DEFENDANTS				
Harper, Xavier			Loggins Logistics, G. Marshall, III	Loggins Logistics, Inc., Highway Equipment & Leasing, LLC and John			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Titus (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) GOUDARZI & YOUNG, LLP P.O. DRAWER 910, GILMER, TEXAS 75644			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti,		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		2 Incorporated and F of Business In A			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Property of the second	f.i	Foreign Country				
CONTRACT		DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 0 448 Education 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other LABOR	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	court 3 Cite the U.S. Civil Star 28 U.S.C. 1332(a)	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi) use:	Reinstated or 5 Transfe Reopened Anothe (specify) ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state	r District Litigation	ict		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2.	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 06/27/2017		signature of attor /s/ Brent Goudarzi					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IEP	IUDGE	MAG III	OGE		